PRO SE INTRACKE LIMITY-08974-LGS Document 25 Filed 01/04/16 Page 1 of 3

United States District Court Southern District of New York U.S. Courthouse - 500 Pearl Street New York, N.Y. 10007

Chan Ah wah and Lim Cheok Kee Willy

Case # 15 cv 08974 (LGS)

against

HSBC North America Holdings Inc., IHSBC Bark PLC; HSBC Holdings PLC, Letter re: Status about Case

HSBC Bank USA N.A., HSBC Securities CUSA) Inc.; HSBC Private Bank



Decir Honorable Judge schofield,

Good day to you, your honor,

The Defendant HSBC Banks sent Plaintiffs a copy of draft letter on Dec 22, 2015 about a different action from Plaintiff's claim of Antitrust claim (DK+ 1) Sherman Act, Clayton Act Via email and Plaintiff's received on 12/26/15 regular mail for joint letter to discuss and submit prior to Pretrial conference dated Jan 7, 2016. Plaintiffs then submitted their own Single letter on Dec 29, 2015 (DK+ 20) to the Court on per Judge's Order of Dec 16, 2015 (DK+ 18).

In the proposed draft letter, Defendant HSBC Banks informed the Court that Plaintiffs clid not plead or inform the Court about the case # 13-cv-04789-JPO (Diversity case) in new action case (15 cv 08974-LGS). Judge Oetkan has to find out if Plaintiffs' new proposed action (-13cv-04789 Dkt (of Antitrust case)

is a prejudiced against Defendant HSBC Bank for at the time Defendant alleged (Dkt. 21 of 13 cv-04789). So Plaintiffs in their letter to Judge Betkan, submitted evidences of transaction note, a letter given to Plaintiffs by the Defendant HSBC Bank

Confirmed that Plaintiffs directly traded with their dealers on FX trading, etc. (13 CV 04789 Dkt. 22, 23, 24, 25, 26). Judge Oetkan in his order (DK+26) ordered that Plaintiffs filed (13 cv 04789) was dismissed without prejudice and after reviewing the new action or proposed complaint of Antitrust case; the court denied to amend but Plaintiff, may file new action (DE+. 18). In Plaintiffs, complaint (15 CV 08974-LGS) detailed how the benchmark was manipulated through action were inherently collusive and conspirational the sharing of proprietary, pricing information and agreeing on trading. Strategies to manipulate the Fix. (DKt. 242 of 13 cv 7789) " Defendant also conceded at oral argument, as they must, that any particular transaction that a particular <u>Plaintiff</u> entered into with a particular Defendant on a day that the fix was manipulated to that Plaintiff's detriment would sufficiently demonstrate injury in fact as to that Plaintiff. Plaintiffs also wanted to inform the Court that 30 days expired from the Form A0398 and Form A0399 (DKt. 10) and no reply from Defendant HSBC Banks to execute "Due process rights for Plaintiffs On Dec 30, 2015, Class action co-lead counsel, Mr. Christopher Burke contacted Plaintiffs about consolidation of case with In re-Foreign Exchange Benjinart Rates Antitrust Litigation and he mentioned the Court has contacted him for these (Exhibit!) actions with Plaintiffs, And, Plaintiffs would meet Mr. Burke in his office in New York on Jan 6, 2016 at 11:00 pm. Thank you. Your Honor. Respectfully submitted by:

W. Lim Cheok Kee Willy, Prose

Chan Ah Wah, Prose CC: HSBC Rank, Lockelord, LLP



December 31, 2015

Via ECF

Honorable Lorna G. Schofield U.S. District Court Southern District of New York Thurgood Marshall Courthouse 40 Foley Square New York, NY 10007

Re:

In re Foreign Exchange Benchmark Rates Antitrust Litigation Civil Action No. 1:13-cv-07789-LGS

Dear Judge Schofield:

We write on behalf of Class Plaintiffs in the above-captioned action (the "Consolidated FX Action") in response to the Court's December 9, 2015, December 14, 2015, and December 16, 2015 Orders in Nypl v. JP Morgan Chase & Co., No. 15-cv-9300-LGS and Chan Ah Wah v. HSBC North America Holdings Inc., No. 15-cv-08974-LGS. These Orders directed the parties to confer on consolidation of these cases with the Consolidated FX Action. Lead Counsel have conferred with counsel for all parties in the Nypl and Chan Ah Wah actions regarding consolidation. At this time, Class Plaintiffs oppose consolidation of Nypl and Chan Ah Wah with the Consolidated FX Action. Class Plaintiffs respectfully submit that the Court would benefit from briefing on the issue.

Respectfully submitted,

SCOTT+SCOTT, ATTORNEYS AT LAW, LLP	HAUSFELD LLP
/s/Christopher M. Burke	<u>/s/Michael D. Hausfeld</u> Michael D. Hausfeld
Christopher M. Burke 707 Broadway, Suite 1000	1700 K Street, NW, Suite 650
San Diego, CA 92101	Washington, DC 20006
<u>Telephone: 619-233-4565</u>	Telephone: <u>202-540-7200</u> mhausfeld@hausfeld.com
cburke@scott-scott.com	innausteid@nausteid.com
Co-Lead Counsel for Class Plaintiffs	Co-Lead Counsel for Class Plaintiffs